Electronically Filed 02/15/2013 09:17:58 AM

Attorney General's Office 555 E. Washington, Suite 3900 Las Vegas, NV 89101			02/13/2013 09.17.30 ANI
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	NEOJ CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO Attorney General CHRISTOPHER A. ECCLES Deputy Attorney General Nevada Bar No. 009798 VIVIENNE RAKOWSKY Deputy Attorney General Nevada Bar No. 009160 Bureau of Government Affairs 555 E. Washington Ave., Ste. 3900 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 P: (702) 486-3103 F: (702) 486-3416 ceccles@ag.nv.gov vrakowsky@ag.nv.gov Attorneys for Respondents	CLERK OF THE COURT
	10	DISTRICT COURT	
	11	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA	
	12 13	NICHOLAS DIAZ, Petitioner,) Case No. A-12-665815-J) Dept. No. XXXI
	14	vs.)
	15 16	KEITH KIZER, Executive Director for the Athletic Commission of the Department of Business and Industry, State of Nevada; and NEVADA STATE ATHLETIC COMMISSION,	
	17	Respondents.	
	18		
	19	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER	
	20	YOU, AND EACH OF YOU, PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an Order Denying Petition for	
	21	Judicial Review was entered by the Court on the 14th day of February, 2013, in the above-	
	22	entitled action, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".	
	23	DATED this 15th day of February, 2013.	
	24		THERINE CORTEZ MASTO orney General
	25		·
	26		/s/ VIVIENNE RAKOWSKY CHRISTOPHER A. ECCLES
	27 28		Deputy Attorney General VIVIENNE RAKOWSKY Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Defendant
		1	

Attorney General's Office 555 E. Washington, Suite 3900 Las Vegas, NV 89101

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, hereby certify that on the 15th day of February, 2013, I served the **NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER,** by causing to be delivered to the Department of General Services for mailing at Las Vegas, Nevada and via facsimile, a true copy thereof, addressed to:

Ross C. Goodman Goodman Law Group, P.C. 520 S. Fourth St., 2nd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Attorneys for Plaintiff

/s/ TRACI PLOTNICK
An employee of Office of Attorney General

EXHIBIT "A"

		11	
	•-	Electronically Filed 02/14/2013 12:59:20 PM	
Attorney General's Office 555 E. Washington, Suite 3900 Las Vegas, NV 89101	1	ORDD	
	2	ORDD CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO Attorney General	
	3	CHRISTOPHER A. ECCLES CLERK OF THE COURT Deputy Attorney General	
	4	Nevada Bar No. 009798 VIVIENNE RAKOWSKY	
	5	Deputy Attorney General Nevada Bar No. 009160	
	6	Bureau of Government Affairs 555 E. Washington Ave., Ste. 3900	
	7	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 P: (702) 486-3420	
	8	F: (702) 486-3416 ceccles@ag.nv.gov	
	9	vrakowsky@ag.nv.gov Attorneys for Respondents	
	10		
	11	DISTRICT COURT	
		CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA	
	12	NICHOLAS DIAZ,) Case No. A-12-665815-J	
	13	Petitioner,) Dept. No. XXXI vs.	
	14	KEITH KIZER, Executive Director for the	
	15	Athletic Commission of the Department of) Business and Industry, State of Nevada; and)	
	16	NEVADA STATE ATHLETIC COMMISSION,	
	17	Respondents.	
	18	/	
	19	ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW	
	20	The above-captioned matter came before the Honorable Joanna S. Kishner on	
	21	December 14, 2012 on Petitioner's Petition for Judicial Review of a Hearing Officer's Findings	
	22	of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order.	
	23	Findings of Fact	
	24	1. There is substantial evidence to show that Nick Diaz tested positive for marijuana	
	25	metabolites in a post-fight urine test on February 4, 2012.	
	26	2. There is thereby substantial evidence to show that Nick Diaz used marijuana before his	
	27	February 4, 2012 contest, which is a violation of NAC 467.850(1)(c).	
	28	☐ Voluntary Dis ☐ Involuntary (stat) Die ☐ Jdgmt on Arb Assard ☐ Min to Die (by deft) ☐ State Assard ☐ Min to Die (by deft) ☐ State Assard ☐ Min to Die (by deft) ☐ State Assard ☐ State Assard ☐ Min to Die (by deft)	

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

3. There is substantial evidence to show that because Nick Diaz had been disciplined by the Commission in 2007 for using marijuana before a contest, he knew that the Nevada State Athletic Commission will discipline any contestant who uses a drug which has not been approved by the Commission before or during a contest.

- 4. Whether Mr. Diaz legally uses marijuana in California is not relevant to whether he violated the regulations of the Nevada State Athletic Commission by using marijuana before or during a contest.
- 5. There is substantial evidence to show that Nick Diaz admitted that he uses marijuana to aid in training.
- 6. There is substantial evidence to support a finding that Nick Diaz understood that his use of marijuana as a medication should have been disclosed to the Commission.
- 7. There is substantial evidence to show that Nick Diaz understood that he should have disclosed his use of medical marijuana as either a prescription or over the counter drug on the Pre-Fight Questionnaire.
- 8. There is substantial evidence to support a finding that Nick Diaz knew he should have disclosed information regarding his medical condition on the Pre-Fight Questionnaire.
- 9. Although argued in the pleadings, the credibility issue was not argued before this Court on December 14, 2012, however, this Court finds that there is substantial evidence in the record that calls Mr. Diaz' credibility into question.

Conclusions of Law

- 1. The Nevada State Athletic Commission has broad jurisdiction to interpret and rule on its own Statutes and Regulations.
- 2. In Nevada, to show a violation for the use of marijuana, the test that is the standard of the industry is the urine test, which tests for the presence of marijuana metabolites.
- 3. A due process violation did not occur because by testing positive for marijuana metabolites in his post-fight urine test, Mr. Diaz violated NAC 467.850.
- 4. The Nevada State Athletic Commission did not exceed its authority when it disciplined Nick Diaz following his positive test for marijuana metabolites.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 5. The Nevada State Athletic Commission did not exceed its authority when it disciplined Nick Diaz for providing false or misleading information to the Commission or a representative of the Commission.
- 6. In finding Nick Diaz violated NAC 467.850, NAC 467.885 and NAC 467.886, the Commission did not act: (a) In violation of constitutional or statutory provisions; (b) In excess of the statutory authority of the agency; (c) Upon unlawful procedure; (d) In error of law;(e) Clearly erroneous in view of the reliable, probative and substantial evidence on the whole record; or (f) Arbitrary or capricious or characterized by abuse of discretion.
- 7. The Court, having reviewed the record and considered the arguments of the parties, finds that there is sufficient evidence to support the Commission's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order disciplining Nick Diaz.

<u>Order</u>

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Petitioner's Petition for Judicial Review is DENIED.

DATED this 12 day of February, 2013.

JOANNA S. KISHNER

JOAMNA S. KISHNER DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Respectfully submitted by:

CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO Attorney General

ll Bv

CHRISTOPHER A. ECCLES

Deputy Attorney General

VIVIENNE RAKOWSKY

Deputy Attorney General

555 E. Washington Ave., Ste. 3900

Las Vegas, NV 89101

Attorneys for Respondents