

1 2 3 4	JOSH A. KREVITT (CA SBN 208552) jkrevitt@gibsondunn.com H. MARK LYON (CA SBN 162061) mlyon@gibsondunn.com GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 1881 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1211 Telephone: (650) 849-5300 Facsimile: (650) 849-5333	WILLIAM F. LEE (pro hac vice) william.lee@wilmerhale.com WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP 60 State Street Boston, Massachusetts 02109 Telephone: (617) 526-6000 Facsimile: (617) 526-5000
5	MICHAEL A. JACOBS (CA SBN 111664)	MARK D. SELWYN (CA SBN 244180)
6	mjacobs@mofo.com RICHARD S.J. HUNG (CA SBN 197425)	mark.selwyn@wilmerhale.com WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
7	rhung@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP	HALE AND DORR LLP 950 Page Mill Road
8	425 Market Street San Francisco, California 94105-2482	Palo Alto, CA 94304 Telephone: (650) 858-6000
9	Telephone: (415) 268-7000 Facsimile: (415) 268-7522	Facsimile: (650) 858-6100
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim-Defendant Apple Inc.	
11	LIMITED STATES DISTRICT COLUDT	
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
15	APPLE INC., a California corporation,	CASE NO. 12-cv-00630-LHK (PSG)
16	Plaintiff, v.	APPLE INC.'S MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT THE RECORD
17	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a	REGARDING SAMSUNG'S GALAXY S III PRODUCT
18	Korean corporation; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New	Hearing:
19	York corporation; and SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA,	Date: June 7, 2012 Time: 1:30 P.M.
20	LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,	Place: Courtroom 8, 4 th Floor Judge: Hon. Lucy H. Koh
21	Defendants.	_
22	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a Korean corporation; SAMSUNG	
23	ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New	
24	York corporation, and SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,	
	J 1 J7	
25	Counterclaim-Plaintiffs	
2526	Counterclaim-Plaintiffs, v.	
	· ·	
26	V.	

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP Apple Inc.'s Motion to Supplement The Record

Case No.: 12-cv-00630-LHK

24

25

23

22

26

27

28

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP CASE No.: 12-CV-00630-LHK

Apple has moved to preliminarily enjoin Samsung's infringing Galaxy Nexus smartphone and any infringing devices that are not more than colorably different than that phone. See Proposed Order (D.I. 11). Apple now moves, pursuant to Local Rule 7-3(d), to supplement the record regarding Samsung's newest infringing smartphone, the Galaxy S III, which is the successor smart phone to the Galaxy Nexus.1

Samsung released the Galaxy S III in the United Kingdom on May 29, 2012, and several of the major U.S. carriers have announced that they will begin selling the Galaxy S III in the United States on June 21, 2012. According to press reports, Samsung has already sold over nine million preorders of the Galaxy S III; indeed, the Galaxy S III has been reported to be the most extensively preordered piece of consumer electronics in history. See Ex. A, Declaration of Emily L. Fedman in Support of Apple's Motion to Supplement the Record ("Fedman Decl."), Exs. 4-6.

Apple has been able to obtain the Galaxy S III sold in the United Kingdom and determined that this device clearly infringes at least two of the Apple patents at issue in the preliminary injunction motion for the exact same reasons as the Galaxy Nexus. Attached as exhibit B is the Supplemental Declaration of Dr. Polish, in which he explains that the Galaxy S III contains the same features accused of infringing U.S. Patent No. 8,086,604 (Unified Search) as the Galaxy Nexus, and that the S III infringes the patent in precisely the same way as the Galaxy Nexus. Attached as exhibit C is the supplemental declaration of Dr. Mowry, in which he explains that the Galaxy S III also contains the same features accused of infringing U.S. Patent No. 5,946,647 (Links for Structures) as the Galaxy Nexus, and infringes the patent in precisely the same way as the Galaxy Nexus. Both Drs. Polish and Mowry explain, moreover, that the Galaxy S III and the Galaxy Nexus are based on the same Android 4.0 platform (Ice Cream Sandwich). Neither Dr. Polish nor Dr. Mowry assert or analyze any new patent claims, present any new theories, or introduce any new infringement issues

¹ See, e.g., Vellturo Reply Declaration (submitted May 14, 2012) (D.I. 178) Ex. 35 at *784.

² Apple's experts are still analyzing the Galaxy S III to determine the extent to which it infringes the other two patents Apple raised in its motion (as well as other Apple patents). Because it is imperative that Apple obtain relief against the imminent launch of the Galaxy S III as soon as possible, however, Apple will limit its current request for preliminary relief against the Galaxy S III to the '604 and '647 patents, because it is clear that infringement can be shown with respect to these patents based on the current record.

for this Court to decide. Because the Galaxy S III contains two of the exact infringing features already at issue with respect to the Galaxy Nexus, the S III is not more than colorably different from the Galaxy Nexus, and falls within the scope of Apple's current proposed order submitted in connection with its motion for a preliminary injunction.

The Galaxy S III will also irreparably harm Apple for the same reasons as the Galaxy Nexus, but on a much greater scale. Apple has submitted press reports detailing the significant pre-sales and sales Samsung has enjoyed for the Galaxy S III. Fedman Decl. Exs. 3-5. The central premise of Samsung's opposition to Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction was that the Galaxy Nexus had not sold sufficiently well to cause severe enough harm to Apple; while that argument is legally and factually untenable, it is entirely inapplicable with respect to the Galaxy S III, which reportedly will sell phenomenally well immediately upon launch. Also attached are announcements by Sprint, Verizon, and T-Mobile indicating that they will each begin selling the Galaxy S III on June 21, 2012. *Id.* at Exs. 6-8.

Given the extent to which the Galaxy S III infringes and will cause immediate and irreparable harm to Apple, it is imperative that this Court have an opportunity to consider and rule upon Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction before the Galaxy S III is launched in the United States. As a result, Apple requested that Samsung confirm that it would not launch the Galaxy S III in the United States until this Court has had such an opportunity. *Id.* at Ex. 1. Samsung refused, stating that "Apple's pending Preliminary Injunction Motion will have no bearing on the release date of the Galaxy S III." *Id.* at Ex. 2.

CONCLUSION

Apple respectfully requests that the record be supplemented with the material attached hereto. With this supplemental evidence, the record conclusively demonstrates that a preliminary injunction is warranted with respect to both the Galaxy Nexus and the Galaxy S III.

Gibson, Dunn &

APPLE INC.'S MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT THE RECORD

CASE NO.: 12-CV-00630-LHK

Case5:12-cv-00630-LHK Document201 Filed06/05/12 Page4 of 5

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP Dated: June 5, 2012 By: /s/ H. Mark Lyon H. Mark Lyon Attorneys for Plaintiff Apple Inc.

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP

Apple Inc.'s Motion to Supplement The Record Case No.: 12-cv-00630-LHK $\,$

Case5:12-cv-00630-LHK Document201 Filed06/05/12 Page5 of 5

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that the foregoing document was filed electronically in compliance with Civil 5 Local Rule 5.4, and will be served on all counsel for Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC who have consented to electronic service in accordance with Civil Local Rule 5.4 via the Court's ECF system.

Date: June 5, 2012 By: /s/ Mark Lyon

H. Mark Lyon

Gibson, Dunn &

Crutcher LLP

APPLE INC.'S MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT THE RECORD

CASE No.: 12-cv-00630-LHK